

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/670,029	SUZUKI, TAKAYUKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TUAN V. NGUYEN	3731	

All Participants:

(1) TUAN V. NGUYEN.

(2) THOMAS SPINELLI (Reg. No. 39,533).

Date of Interview: 17 February 2011

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
2-5,8,9 and 10-15

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In a telephone interview with Applicants' representative, Thomas Spinelli, on 2/17/11, Examiner indicates that the restriction requirement mailed out on 1/17/07 has been withdrawn, therefore, claims 2-5 and 8-9 no longer withdrawn from consideration because the claims require all the limitations of an allowable claim, which is claim 1. Examiner also indicates that claims 10-15 are not incondition for allowance, therefore, they should be canceled in order to put the application in condition for allowance. Examiner further indicate that in view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement, applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Applicants' representative, Mr. Spenelli agrees with Examiner.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/TUAN V NGUYEN/
Examiner, Art Unit 3731

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)